Star-formation in the Coalsack Loop

V. Golev[1] and N. Kaltcheva[2]

[1] Department of Astronomy, Faculty of Physics, St Kliment Ohridski University of Sofia, 5 James Bourchier Blvd., BG-1164 Sofia, Bulgaria, e-mail: valgol@phys.uni-sofia.bg
[2] Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Wisconsin Oshkosh, 800 Algoma Blvd., Oshkosh, WI 54901, USA, e-mail: kaltchev@uwosh.edu

Abstract. The giant Galactic H ii region known as the Coalsack Loop, which is associated with the H i supershell GSH $305+01-24$, provides a unique opportunity to study the OB-star influence on the surrounding interstellar material. The bright OB-stars within this region contribute a sufficient wind injection energy consistent with the observed size and expansion velocity of the supershell. The derived age distribution of the OB-stars is suggestive for a continuous star-formation where the youngest stars are located at the supershell's periphery.

Key words. Stars: early type – open clusters and associations: individual: Centaurus star-forming field – ISM: individual objects: GSH $305+01-24$

1. Introduction

The most prominent sites of massive star-formation in the Milky Way are usually associated with supershells in star-forming complexes along the spiral arms. The Centaurus star-forming field represents part of the Carina-Sagittarius arm in both the two-armed and four-armed models of the Galaxy (Humphreys & Kerr 1974). The field is dominated by the H i supershell GSH $305+01-24$ (McClure-Griffiths et al. 2001) and its optical counterpart, the Coalsack Loop (Walker & Zealey 1998).

In this contribution, several multi-wavelength surveys (Wisconsin Hα Mapper Northern Sky Survey, Southern Hα Sky Survey Atlas, MSX Mid-IR Galactic Plane Survey, WISE All-Sky Data Release, CO survey of the Milky Way, and the Southern Galactic Plane H i Survey) are combined with available intermediate-band $uvby\beta$ photometry (Hauck & Mermilliod 1998) and polarization measurements (Heiles 2000) in order to study the spatial correlation between the OB-stars and the neutral and ionized material in the GSH $305+01-24$ supershell and the energetics of their interaction.

2. Massive stellar content of GSH $305+01-24$

The massive stellar content of the H i supershell GSH $305+01-24$ is reliably identified by the means of $uvby\beta$ photometry which, for this field, is $85-90\%$ complete in the 11.5-12 magnitude range for O-B9 spectral types (Kaltcheva et al. 2013). We redefine the Cen OB1 association, selecting photometrically 133 stars at an average distance 1.8 ± 0.4 kpc located inside GSH $305+01-24$. An estimate of the individual ages of these stars via the evolutionary tracks and isochrones by

Ekström et al. (2012)[1] results in log age of 7.09 ± 0.18 (∼ 12.3 Myr) for the average age of the association. In addition, we provide estimates of the distance and age of the young open cluster NGC 4755 (1.97 kpc, ∼ 15.1 Myr, respectively), also located inside the shell. The HR-diagram for the redefined Cen OB1 association and NGC 4755 is shown in Fig. 1. The distribution of stellar age across the shell indicates that star-formation started in this region about 15 Myr ago with NGC 4755 and progressively propagated toward the walls of the shell (see for details Kaltcheva et al. (2013), and the case study of NGC 602 by Carlson et al. (2007)). In Fig. 2 a high-resolution near-IR $7° × 2°$ equatorial strip over the GSH 305+01-24 shell is shown, based on observations with Spitzer Space Telescope, publicly available at http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/data/SPITZER/. The image is dominated by G305.4+0.1 H II complex, a major star-formation region associated with several H II regions, for details see e.g. Clark & Porter (2004). The stars of the redefined association are shown with circles proportional to the star's age.

The cumulative effect of the stellar winds of the selected stars and NGC 4755 is sufficient to produce the observed size of the H I shell. This conclusion is supported by the total kinetic energy deposit into the surrounding ISM supplied by massive stars during their lifetime estimated for each star by stellar models. Our results, based on revised stellar content and distance to GSH 305+01−24, are in agreement with the conclusions of McClure-Griffiths et al. (2001) and the models of Silich, Elias & Franco (2008) that the GSH 305+01−24 is a wind-blown shell.

The GSH 305+01−24 supershell is located in the direction of the Lower Centaurus-Crux association, which is likely to be our closest giant star-forming complex (Comerón 2001; Elias et al. 2009)). The available *uvbyβ* data allows us to identify a foreground layer of very young stars at 1 kpc and to investigate its connection to both GSH 305+01−24 and the foreground GSH 304−00−12 H I shells.

Fig. 1. The HR-diagram for the redefined Cen OB1 association (black symbols) and NGC 4755 (green symbols). The evolutionary tracks and isochrones from Ekström et al. (2012) utilized via the Geneva stellar models interactive tools are shown. Models with initial metallicity 0.014 (solar) and initial rotation rate 0.40 are used. The size of the symbols corresponds to the mass of the stars.

3. Polarization of starlight toward GSH 305 + 01 − 24

Optical polarimetry of bright stars is useful to study the large-scale properties of the magnetic field in H II regions or H I supershells. Mapping the magnetic field orientation toward the disk of the Milky Way could contribute to our understanding of the large-scale star-forming processes. Polarization measurements for a significant number of OB-stars is available toward this supershell. Since the observed star-light polarization at optical wavelengths is caused by selective absorption by magnetically aligned interstellar dust grain along the line of sight (see Fosalba et al. (2002) and references therein for details), the available data contains information about the large-scale component of the Galactic magnetic field.

MIPSGAL−GLIMPSE mosaic, RGB channels as 24, 8.0 and 4.5 μm

Fig. 2. High-resolution near-IR 7°×2° equatorial strip over the GSH 305+01-24 shell. The association stars are shown with green circles proportional to the age of the stars. Some of the known nebulae are shown too. The central clusters Danks 1 and Danks 2 of G305 complex are shown with symbols marked as '1' and '2'.

Fig. 3. Diagrams E(B-V) vs. true Distance Modulus and true Distance Modulus vs. Galactic Longitude for the stars toward Centaurus with optical polarization measurements and *uvbyβ* data.

It is particularly useful when polarization is combined with reliable distance and color excess estimations. The stars toward Centaurus with available optical polarization measurements and *uvbyβ* data are shown in Fig. 3. The massive population of GSH 305+01−24 and the foreground young stars closer than 1 kpc are presented with different colors. The steep increase in the color excess at 1 kpc is due to stars seen toward the G305 H II complex (G305.4+0.1). Optical polarization vectors are plotted in Fig. 4 where the Hα data are taken from Finkbeiner (2003). In comparison to the adjacent large-scale star-forming fields in the 4th Galactic quadrant, the polarization vectors are parallel to the disk, showing just small de-

viations. The vectors associated with the stars in the shell show, however, twice less deviation in their polarization angles in comparison to the vectors associated with the foreground young stars.

Fig. 4. Optical polarization measurements plotted on an Hα image of the Coalsack Loop for the stars included in Fig. 3. The length of each line is proportional to the percentage linear polarization and the angle is θ_{gal}.

References

Carlson, L. R., Sabbi, E., Sirianni, M., et al. 2007, ApJ, 665, L109
Clark, J. S., Porter, J. M. 2004, A&A, 427, 839
Comerón, F. 2001, A&A, 365, 417
Humphreys, R. M. & Kerr, F. J. 1974, ApJ, 194, 301
McClure-Griffiths, N. M., Dickey, J. M., Gaensler, B. & Green, A. J. 2001, ApJ, 562, 424
Walker, A., Zealey, W. J. 1998, PASA, 15, 79
Hauck, B. & Mermilliod M. 1998, A&AS, 129, 431

Heiles C. 2000, AJ, 119, 923
Kaltcheva, N., Golev, V., Moran, K. 2013, A&A, in press
Ekström, S., Georgy C., Eggenberger P. et al. 2012, A&A, 537, A146
Silich, S., Elias, F., Franco, J. 2008, ApJ681, 1327
Elias, F., Alfaro, E. J., Cabrera-Caño, J. 2009, MNRAS, 397, 2
Fosalba, P., Lazarian, A., Prunet, S., Tauber, J. A. 2002, ApJ, 564, 762
Finkbeiner, D. P. 2003, ApJS, 146, 407